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The waxing and waning of opinion about the impact lip-tie and tongue-tie have on infants’ breastfeeding and speech — and even the condition’s actual existence — remain the foundation of the passionate dialectic that influences today’s opinions on the subject. Modern literature on frenectomy$^1$-$^3$ indicates that there is no legitimate reason to withhold treatment of tongue-tie in an infant, child, or adult. The resistance to performing such a simple surgical procedure as frenectomy boggles the mind when so much research demonstrates its effectiveness and safety. Multidisciplinary efforts$^1$ to end the perpetuation of tongue-tie myths generated throughout time have helped change the global dialogue from one of intense criticism of treatment for tongue-tie to one of grudging acknowledgment that tongue-tie has a negative impact on breastfeeding and should be managed and treated for optimal clinical results. Breastfeeding problems associated with infants’ Tongue- and Lip-Ties manifest themselves through infants’ failure to thrive and include, but are not limited to: inability for baby’s lips, mouth and tongue to properly latch on mother’s breast; inability to stimulate milk production through vigorous nursing, resulting in low milk supply; improper tongue mobility may prevent babies from clearing milk from their mouth; painful nursing, colic, reflux, speech difficulties; sleep deprivation for mother and infant due to frequent feedings; etc. Together with lactation consultants, oral surgeons and dentists have a role in both squelching the myths about lip-tie and tongue-tie’s existence but also about the safety and efficacy of proper diagnosis$^1$-$^2$ (see Figure 1) and timely treatment using the best modern methods and tools, such as soft tissue dental lasers.$^3$

**ORAL SOFT TISSUE LASER SURGERY**

The 10,600 nm CO$_2$ laser is a “What You See Is What You Get” surgical soft tissue cutting laser with minimal collateral thermal effects$^3$-$^4$ that are sufficient for sealing blood vessels, lymphatics, and nerve endings; the surface bacteria are efficiently destroyed on incision/ablation margins.
laser pulsing is referred to as SuperPulse and is a must-have feature of any state-of-the-art soft tissue surgical CO₂ laser that minimizes the depth of coagulation.

**Laser Beam Spot Size.** Just like the sharpness of the steel blade defines the quality and the ease of the cut, the size of the laser beam focal spot defines the quality of the laser cut. The smaller (or sharper) the focal spot of the beam, the narrower and the deeper the incision. Just like a dull blade cannot produce a quality incision, an oversized laser beam spot cannot produce a precise and narrow incision. For a rapid switch from cutting to just photo-coagulation, the laser beam can be defocused either by selecting a larger spot size, or by simply moving the handpiece away from the tissue by approximately 10 mm (for LightScalpel tipless laser handpieces), and “painting” the “bleeder” for enhanced hemostasis – see Figure 3.

**Laser Power Density and Depth of Ablation.** For a laser scalpel, the power density of the focused laser beam is equivalent to the mechanical pressure that is applied to a cold steel blade: the greater the laser fluence’ (i.e., greater power density and slower handspeed), the greater the depth and the rate of soft tissue removal – see Figure 4.

**Infant Frenectomy Case Study**

**Patient.** A 2-week-old male was referred to Martin Kaplan, DMD, for failure to thrive. Thorough intraoral examination and functional assessment were performed along with the interview with the mother. Figure 6a shows the triple thick fibrous maxillary labial frenum; and Figure 7a demonstrates restrictive sub-mucosal lingual frenum. It was determined that the infant required both labial and lingual frenectomy.

**Surgical Procedure.** The patient was positioned in the dental chair with a special headrest and disposable adhesive safety goggles were applied. First, maxillary labial frenum revision was performed. The frenum was visualized and tension was applied to it by pulling the lip upward (traction tension helps laser ablation). Tissue fibers were “erased” in a rapid zig-zaging motion until the junction of the frenum with the labial mucosa was reached. Then the same process continued from the starting point downward to the base of the frenum attachment. The surgical area was periodically wiped with a small gauze pad in order to eliminate any traces of organic ashes from laser plume. Procedure took approximately 30 seconds of laser on time. At the end of the procedure, the lip was pulled upward to check that the motion was not restrained. Hemostasis was excellent (see Figure 6b). No sutures were needed. The post-operative photo (Figure 6b) shows the immediate improvement in the patient’s ability to flange the upper lip and the disappearance of the crestal gingiva blanching (compare with Figure 6a).

Second, the lingual frenum revision was performed. The tongue was lifted with a cotton swab. In order to create tension, the surgeon wore a sterile fabric “sleeve” on the index finger to slightly push on the tongue and keep it in place (as seen in Figure 5b). The assistant slightly pushed on the lower lip to prevent the mouth from closing. Superficial tissue ablation started approximately 4-5 mm above the salivary glands’ ducts in short horizontal back and forth strokes. The tissue response easily “opened up”, noticeably releasing tension. The surgeon ablated the remaining fibers, taking caution to avoid the salivary ducts. Immediately post-operative photo (Figure 7b) shows complete lack of hemorrhage and the increased range of the tongue.
motion. The procedure took approximately 5 seconds of laser on time. No sutures were placed.

Post-Operative Instructions and Follow-up Exam.
Patient was released with detailed instructions to the mother on how to do stretch exercises for both the tongue and the lip. Patient was able to feed immediately after the surgery and the mother reported improvement in the effectiveness of feeding as well as noticeable relief in breast pain. Patient was seen at 7 days (Figures 6c, 7c and 7d); healing was uneventful: Figure 7d clearly demonstrates the good lift, spread and cupping of the tongue. Baby’s latch-on and sucking ability had improved and in 7 days following the surgery he gained 13 oz.

Summary
Once properly diagnosed, infant lip-ties and tongue-ties can be safely and efficiently released with the soft tissue 10,600 nm CO$_2$ laser with predictable and repeatable tissue response, fast ablation and instant hemostasis. The extremely precise cutting, minimal collateral damage, clear and bloodless operating field and, reportedly, relatively minimal postoperative pain make the CO$_2$ laser the tool of choice for infant frenectomy. CO$_2$ laser oral surgery also features less wound contraction and reduced scarring in comparison with scalpel incisions.
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